

Regular Lecture 5

Sentences and Paragraphs

Prof. Dr. Jasmin Blanchette

Chair of Theoretical Computer Science and
Theorem Proving

Version of September 16, 2026



Sentences

Roles of Words in Clauses

Words and phrases can assume the following **roles** in a clause:

- ▶ **subject;**
- ▶ **verb;**
- ▶ **direct object;**
- ▶ **indirect object;**
- ▶ **complement;**
- ▶ **adverbial.**

These roles are not to be confused with the parts of speech (noun, verb, etc.).

Subjects

The subject **controls the verb** in the clause.

Typically:

- ▶ The subject is the **doer**.
- ▶ The subject is the sentence's **topic**.
- ▶ The subject comes **before the verb** in the clause.

Examples of subjects:

She ate lunch.

Whom did you see?

Naomi and Yuta both looked exhausted.

Verbs

The verb **describes an action** or **state**. It forms the core of the clause. It agrees with the subject.

Examples of verbs:

She ate lunch.

Whom did you see?

Naomi and Yuta both looked exhausted.

Direct Objects

The direct object **receives the action** of a transitive verb.

Examples of direct objects:

She ate lunch.

Whom did you see?

His grandparents gave him the money he needed.

Indirect Objects

The indirect object **is affected by the action** of a transitive verb, without being the direct object.

Examples of indirect objects:

Tell me a story.

His grandparents gave him the money he needed.

Complements

A complement **completes the verb's meaning**.

Examples of complements:

Put the dish on the table.

She is the one to whom they gave it.

Adverbials

An adverbial **functions like an adverb**.

Examples of adverbials:

He arrived after dinner.

Initially, we set i to 0.

Topic, then Stress

The start of a sentence is the natural **topic position**.

It announces the topic of the sentence.

It often connects to previous material.

Topic, then Stress

The start of a sentence is the natural **topic position**.

It announces the topic of the sentence.

It often connects to previous material.

The end of a sentence is the natural **stress position**.

It contains important new information.

Topic, then Stress

The start of a sentence is the natural **topic position**.

It announces the topic of the sentence.

It often connects to previous material.

The end of a sentence is the natural **stress position**.

It contains important new information.

Compare:

Our approach is reliable, but it is incomplete.

Our approach is incomplete, but it is reliable.

The first sentence, in true “yes, but” style, puts the focus on *incomplete*, whereas the second puts the focus on *reliable*.

Topic, then Stress

Notice the **topic** and the **stress** in the following sentences.

The mean error will guide the design of the evaluation's next phase, so it is a critical thing to get a good estimate of.

The mean error will guide the design of the evaluation's next phase, so it is critical that we get a good estimate of it.

The mean error will guide the design of the evaluation's next phase, so it is critical that we estimate it accurately.

The mean error will guide the design of the evaluation's next phase, so it is critical that we get an accurate estimate.

Subject, then Verb

As a rule, get to the **subject** quickly. Compare:

Although the problems are easy for Jeha (Jeha solves each of these problems within 15 seconds on a modern laptop), without the variable argument pruning rule they become substantially more difficult.

The variable argument pruning rule makes the problems easy for Jeha, which can solve each one within 15 seconds on a modern laptop. Without the rule, the problems become substantially more difficult.

Subject, then Verb

As a rule, get to the **subject** quickly. Compare:

Although the problems are easy for Jeha (Jeha solves each of these problems within 15 seconds on a modern laptop), without the variable argument pruning rule they become substantially more difficult.

The variable argument pruning rule makes the problems easy for Jeha, which can solve each one within 15 seconds on a modern laptop. Without the rule, the problems become substantially more difficult.

In the first paragraph, the subject of the main clause comes at position 27.
In the second paragraph, the subjects come at positions 2 and 4 in the respective sentences.

Subject, then Verb

As a rule, get to the **verb** quickly. Compare:

Exploiting knowledge about specific models of a theory for improving automated proof search has received quite some attention in the past.

Many automated theorem provers enhance their proof search by exploiting knowledge about a theory's specific models.

Subject, then Verb

As a rule, get to the **verb** quickly. Compare:

Exploiting knowledge about specific models of a theory for improving automated proof search has received quite some attention in the past.

Many automated theorem provers enhance their proof search by exploiting knowledge about a theory's specific models.

In the first sentence, the verb comes at position 14.

In the second sentence, the verb comes at position 5.

Subject, then Verb

As a rule, get to the verb quickly. Compare:

Exploiting knowledge about specific models of a theory for improving automated proof search has received quite some attention in the past.

Many automated theorem provers enhance their proof search by exploiting knowledge about a theory's specific models.

In the first sentence, the verb comes at position 14.

In the second sentence, the verb comes at position 5.

We also shifted the focus from what researchers do (the metascience) to what programs do (the science).

Short before Long

Within sentences—and also paragraphs, sections, and chapters—try to present **short segments before long segments**. Compare:

These sections develop auxiliary theories about optimum techniques for sorting and searching and about permutations.

These sections develop auxiliary theories about permutations and about optimum techniques for sorting and searching.

Left-Heavy vs. Right-Heavy

- ▶ A **left-heavy** sentence has a long subject (on the left) and short objects, complements, or adverbials (on the right).

Left-Heavy vs. Right-Heavy

- ▶ A **left-heavy** sentence has a long subject (on the left) and short objects, complements, or adverbials (on the right).
- ▶ A **right-heavy** sentence has a short subject (on the left) and long objects, complements, or adverbials (on the right).

Left-Heavy vs. Right-Heavy

- ▶ A **left-heavy** sentence has a long subject (on the left) and short objects, complements, or adverbials (on the right).
- ▶ A **right-heavy** sentence has a short subject (on the left) and long objects, complements, or adverbials (on the right).

Left-heavy sentences are **difficult to parse**.

Right-heavy sentences are **easy to parse** even if they are long.

Left-Heavy vs. Right-Heavy

Example of a **left-heavy sentence**:

That radix sorting is usually inferior to merge sorting, which happens because the technique of replacement selection gives merge sorting a definite advantage, and there is no apparent way to arrange radix sorts so that we can make use of internal sorts encompassing more than one memory load at a time, is one key consequence of the duality principle.

Left-Heavy vs. Right-Heavy

Example of a **left-heavy sentence**:

That radix sorting is usually inferior to merge sorting, which happens because the technique of replacement selection gives merge sorting a definite advantage, and there is no apparent way to arrange radix sorts so that we can make use of internal sorts encompassing more than one memory load at a time, is one key consequence of the duality principle.

Example of a **right-heavy sentence**:

One important consequence of the duality principle is that radix sorting is usually inferior to merge sorting, which happens because the technique of replacement selection gives merge sorting a definite advantage, and there is no apparent way to arrange radix sorts so that we can make use of internal sorts encompassing more than one memory load at a time.

Left-Heavy vs. Right-Heavy

Below, a left-heavy sentence is **split into two sentences**:

The observation that Huffman's algorithm might be implemented using a priority queue is of note to computer scientists because it represents a substantial opportunity to improve performance.

Huffman's algorithm can be implemented using a priority queue. This greatly improves its performance.

Shape of Sentences

Vary the shape and length of your sentences.

Shape of Sentences

Vary the shape and length of your sentences.

Aim for **10 to 20 words** per sentence on average.

Use short sentences for important points that need more stress.

Do not bury your main point in a 45-word sentence.

Characters and Actions

To make your text more enjoyable to read and easier to follow, imagine you are telling a story.

- ▶ Make the main **characters** the grammatical **subjects** of your sentences.
- ▶ Make the main **actions** the grammatical **verbs** of your sentences.

A Story

Main character

Main action

Subject

Verb

Once upon a time, as a walk through the woods was taking place on the part of Little Red Riding Hood, the Wolf's jump out from behind a tree occurred, causing her fright.

A Story

Main character

Main action

Subject

Verb

Once upon a time, as a walk through the woods was taking place on the part of Little Red Riding Hood, the Wolf's jump out from behind a tree occurred, causing her fright.

A Story

Main character

Main action

Subject

Verb

Once upon a time, as a walk through the woods was taking place on the part of Little Red Riding Hood, the Wolf's jump out from behind a tree occurred, causing her fright.

vs.

Once upon a time, Little Red Riding Hood was walking through the woods, when the Wolf jumped out from behind a tree and frightened her.

Another Story

Main character

Main action

Subject

Verb

The design of the new supercomputer was more of a *struggle* for the *engineers* than had been their expectation.

vs.

The *engineers* *struggled* more than they had expected when designing the new supercomputer.

Inanimate Characters

Main character

Main action

Subject

Verb

Characters are often people.

But **inanimate things** and **abstractions** can also be characters, particularly when they play some active role in a sequence of sentences—e.g.:

The CYK algorithm considers every substring of the word w to determine if it belongs to the language L . It was originally published by Itiroo Sakai in 1961.

Placement of *Only*

The adverb *only* is often **misplaced**.

The following sentences carry different meanings or nuances (Knuth et al.):

Only I hit him in the eye yesterday.

I only hit him in the eye yesterday.

I hit only him in the eye yesterday.

I hit him only in the eye yesterday.

I hit him in only the eye yesterday.

I hit him in the only eye yesterday.

I hit him in the eye only yesterday.

I hit him in the eye yesterday only.

Placement of *Only*

The adverb *only* is often **misplaced**.

The following sentences carry different meanings or nuances (Knuth et al.):

Only I hit him in the eye yesterday.

I only hit him in the eye yesterday.

I hit only him in the eye yesterday.

I hit him only in the eye yesterday.

I hit him in only the eye yesterday.

I hit him in the only eye yesterday.

I hit him in the eye only yesterday.

I hit him in the eye yesterday only.

If you write *Here we only draw the first two points*,
you probably mean *Here we draw only the first two points*.

Restrictive vs. Nonrestrictive Relative Clauses

A restrictive relative clause **narrows the range** of the concept it modifies (i.e., the set of things the clause refers to)—e.g.:

The books that I bought yesterday were boring.

“Not all books are boring—only the ones that I bought yesterday.”

Restrictive vs. Nonrestrictive Relative Clauses

A restrictive relative clause **narrows the range** of the concept it modifies (i.e., the set of things the clause refers to)—e.g.:

The books that I bought yesterday were boring.

“Not all books are boring—only the ones that I bought yesterday.”

A nonrestrictive relative clause **adds information** about the set’s elements without making it smaller—e.g.:

The books, which I bought yesterday, were boring.

“The books we are talking about are boring. Incidentally, I bought them yesterday.”

Restrictive vs. Nonrestrictive Relative Clauses

The pronoun *that* is restrictive, whereas *which* is usually nonrestrictive.

The books that I bought yesterday were boring (restrictive) is right.

The books, which I bought yesterday, were boring (nonrestrictive) is right.

Restrictive vs. Nonrestrictive Relative Clauses

The pronoun *that* is restrictive, whereas *which* is usually nonrestrictive.

The books that I bought yesterday were boring (restrictive) is right.

The books, which I bought yesterday, were boring (nonrestrictive) is right.

The books which I bought yesterday were boring (restrictive) is controversial.

The books, that I bought yesterday, were boring (nonrestrictive) is wrong.

Restrictive vs. Nonrestrictive Relative Clauses

The pronoun *that* is restrictive, whereas *which* is usually nonrestrictive.

The books that I bought yesterday were boring (restrictive) is right.

The books, which I bought yesterday, were boring (nonrestrictive) is right.

The books which I bought yesterday were boring (restrictive) is controversial.

The books, that I bought yesterday, were boring (nonrestrictive) is wrong.

Searching for controversial (or “wicked”) *whichs* is called “**which hunting**.”

Against Superstitions

- ▶ A preposition is a legitimate word to end a sentence **with**.
- ▶ You need not try **to not split** infinitives.
- ▶ **And** it is acceptable to start a sentence with *and* or *but*.

Acceptable Passives

By default, write in the **active voice** instead of the passive.

Thus, by default, write *I lost the money* rather than *The money was lost by me*.

But there are situations where passives can be useful:

Acceptable Passives

By default, write in the **active voice** instead of the passive.

Thus, by default, write *I lost the money* rather than *The money was lost by me*.

But there are situations where passives can be useful:

- ▶ You might not know who the **doer** is, you might not care, or it is self-evident—e.g., *The chair was reelected with 54% of the vote*.

Acceptable Passives

By default, write in the **active voice** instead of the passive.

Thus, by default, write *I lost the money* rather than *The money was lost by me*.

But there are situations where passives can be useful:

- ▶ You might not know who the **doer** is, you might not care, or it is self-evident—e.g., *The chair was reelected with 54% of the vote*.
- ▶ The passive might allow you to follow the “**topic, then stress**” or the “**characters and actions**” principle—e.g., *Gradient descent was discovered by Cauchy in 1847*.

Acceptable Passives

By default, write in the **active voice** instead of the passive.

Thus, by default, write *I lost the money* rather than *The money was lost by me*.

But there are situations where passives can be useful:

- ▶ You might not know who the **doer** is, you might not care, or it is self-evident—e.g., *The chair was reelected with 54% of the vote*.
- ▶ The passive might allow you to follow the “**topic, then stress**” or the “**characters and actions**” principle—e.g., *Gradient descent was discovered by Cauchy in 1847*.
- ▶ The passive might let you replace a **long** subject with a **short** one. Compare:
Research demonstrating the soundness of our reasoning and the need for action supported this decision.
This decision was supported by research demonstrating the soundness of our reasoning and the need for action.

Acceptable Nominalizations

By default, use characters and actions, and **avoid nominalizations**.

Thus, by default, write *The committee intends to audit the records* rather than *The intention of the committee is to audit the records*.

But there are situations where nominalizations can be useful:

Acceptable Nominalizations

By default, use characters and actions, and **avoid nominalizations**.

Thus, by default, write *The committee intends to audit the records* rather than *The intention of the committee is to audit the records*.

But there are situations where nominalizations can be useful:

- ▶ A nominalization in subject position can succinctly refer to **previous material**—e.g., *Such objections can be addressed as follows*.

Acceptable Nominalizations

By default, use characters and actions, and **avoid nominalizations**.

Thus, by default, write *The committee intends to audit the records* rather than *The intention of the committee is to audit the records*.

But there are situations where nominalizations can be useful:

- ▶ A nominalization in subject position can succinctly refer to **previous material**—e.g., *Such objections can be addressed as follows*.
- ▶ Nominalization can replace clunky, tangled constructions with **simple and direct phrasings**—e.g., *After recompilation, the program ran twice as fast*.

Acceptable Nominalizations

By default, use characters and actions, and **avoid nominalizations**.

Thus, by default, write *The committee intends to audit the records* rather than *The intention of the committee is to audit the records*.

But there are situations where nominalizations can be useful:

- ▶ A nominalization in subject position can succinctly refer to **previous material**—e.g., *Such objections can be addressed as follows*.
- ▶ Nominalization can replace clunky, tangled constructions with **simple and direct phrasings**—e.g., *After recompilation, the program ran twice as fast*.
- ▶ Nominalizations can serve as **characters** in your narrative—e.g., *Optimization is not the root of all evil*.

Word Repetition

Sometimes, you keep referring to the **same concepts** using the same words. For clarity, it is often better to accept the repetition than to employ synonyms.

Word Repetition

Sometimes, you keep referring to the **same concepts** using the same words. For clarity, it is often better to accept the repetition than to employ synonyms.

By contrast, the accidental repetition of words to refer to **different concepts** is harmful. This will likely distract the reader and perhaps confuse them.

Word Repetition

Example of the harmless type:

To prove the theorem, we must prove the base case and the induction step.

Word Repetition

Example of the harmless type:

To prove the theorem, we must prove the base case and the induction step.

Example of the harmful type:

It proved difficult to prove the induction step.

Solution: Replace *proved* by *turned out to be*.

Paragraphs

Topic of a Paragraph

Each paragraph should have **one topic**.

The first sentence of a paragraph often announces the topic.

It is called a **topic sentence**. The rest of the paragraph expands on the topic.

The topic sentences alone should provide a reasonable outline of the section.

Length of a Paragraph

To ease reading, paragraphs should be kept **short**—perhaps no more than ten lines.

Length of a Paragraph

To ease reading, paragraphs should be kept **short**—perhaps no more than ten lines.

Split long paragraphs into shorter ones, such that each resulting paragraph has a single identifiable topic.

Conversely, try to avoid single-sentence paragraphs.

Flow of a Paragraph

Sentence $k + 1$ in a paragraph should have a **logical link** to sentence k . They should fit like two pieces of a **jigsaw puzzle**. Compare:

There are several efficient sorting algorithms. Recursion is easy to reason about, so merge sort is particularly straightforward to implement.

There are several efficient sorting algorithms. Of these, merge sort is particularly straightforward to implement, since recursion is easy to reason about.

Coherent Paragraphs

Coherence “is when all the sentences in a piece of writing add up to a larger whole, the way all the pieces in a puzzle add up to the picture on the box” (Williams and Bizup).

Coherent Paragraphs

Coherence “is when all the sentences in a piece of writing add up to a larger whole, the way all the pieces in a puzzle add up to the picture on the box” (Williams and Bizup).

To achieve coherent paragraphs:

- ▶ The topic of each sentence should be clear from the first **eight words or so**.
- ▶ The sequence of topics in a paragraph should form a small **set of related ideas**.

Coherent Paragraphs

Compare (and pay attention to the **subjects**):

Consistent metaphors help users understand how to use a graphical application. A sense of consistency arises when a sequence of user actions comprises a narrow set of related ideas. But the context of what they are doing is lost due to inconsistent metaphors.

Users understand how to use a graphical application when they see consistent metaphors deployed in the user interface. They feel that an application is consistent when they execute a sequence of actions based on a narrow set of related ideas. But when the metaphors are inconsistent, users lose the context of what they are doing.

Pitfalls

Pitfalls

Chains of *because* and *since*

Avoid sentences of the form *A because B because C* or variations such as *A since B since C*—e.g., *The transition rule is applicable because the side conditions are satisfied because $x = y = 0$.* Such sentences are hard to parse.

Pitfalls

Chains of *because* and *since*

Avoid sentences of the form *A because B because C* or variations such as *A since B since C*—e.g., *The transition rule is applicable because the side conditions are satisfied because $x = y = 0$* . Such sentences are hard to parse.

Consecutive sentences ending with the same word

These disturb the reader. Vary your sentence structure.

Pitfalls

Chains of *because* and *since*

Avoid sentences of the form *A because B because C* or variations such as *A since B since C*—e.g., *The transition rule is applicable because the side conditions are satisfied because $x = y = 0$* . Such sentences are hard to parse.

Consecutive sentences ending with the same word

These disturb the reader. Vary your sentence structure.

Danglers

When a sentence starts with a participle or some other modifying word, the reader naturally expects that this word modifies the sentence's subject. They are let down when this is not the case—e.g., *As an early GitHub adopter, we never had the chance to ask you about your email preferences*. Here, it sounds as though the sender is an early GitHub adopter.

Pitfalls

Empty verbs

These are verbs with little semantic content relevant to the main action of the sentence (e.g., *is*, *seems*, *has*, *occurs*). Consider reworking your sentence following the “characters and actions” principle.

Pitfalls

Empty verbs

These are verbs with little semantic content relevant to the main action of the sentence (e.g., *is*, *seems*, *has*, *occurs*). Consider reworking your sentence following the “characters and actions” principle.

False attraction

Sometimes, a word other than the subject “attracts” the verb, leading to a wrong verb form—e.g., *Each of these points are ...* (write *is*),
Lupin is one of the most famous thieves that is ... (write *are*).

Pitfalls

Empty verbs

These are verbs with little semantic content relevant to the main action of the sentence (e.g., *is*, *seems*, *has*, *occurs*). Consider reworking your sentence following the “characters and actions” principle.

False attraction

Sometimes, a word other than the subject “attracts” the verb, leading to a wrong verb form—e.g., *Each of these points are ...* (write *is*),
Lupin is one of the most famous thieves that is ... (write *are*).

Garden paths

A garden-path sentence starts in such a way that a reader is likely to misparse it—e.g., *We now prove A and B imply C.* (Until the reader reaches *imply C*, the sentence sounds as though we would now prove A and B.)
Reword to avoid.

Pitfalls

Inelegant variation (also called, ironically, “elegant variation”)

Some authors clumsily use synonyms to avoid repetition.

This can distract or even confuse readers.

“If you call a car ‘the BMW’ in one place and ‘the sporty import’ in another, can your reader be certain that you’re referring to the same car?

If you write about a person’s ‘candor’ in one sentence and ‘honesty’ in the next, is the reader to infer that you are distinguishing between two traits, or using different words to refer to the same one?” (Garner).

Pitfalls

Inelegant variation (also called, ironically, “elegant variation”)

Some authors clumsily use synonyms to avoid repetition.

This can distract or even confuse readers.

“If you call a car ‘the BMW’ in one place and ‘the sporty import’ in another, can your reader be certain that you’re referring to the same car?

If you write about a person’s ‘candor’ in one sentence and ‘honesty’ in the next, is the reader to infer that you are distinguishing between two traits, or using different words to refer to the same one?” (Garner).

Sentence fragments

These are usually wrong in formal English. In particular, do not put a period between a main clause and a subordinate clause—e.g.,

The proposal was rejected. Because it did not address their main concerns.

Pitfalls

Unclear antecedent of *this*

If you present five ideas in a row and then write
This leads us to conclude ..., what does *This* refer to?

Pitfalls

Unclear antecedent of *this*

If you present five ideas in a row and then write
This leads us to conclude ..., what does *This* refer to?

Waffle

Some paragraphs have the form *A but B but A*. The cure is to rearrange the material.