

Automated Theorem Proving

Prof. Dr. Jasmin Blanchette
Dr. Martin Desharnais-Schäfer
Tanguy Bozec

based on exercises by Dr. Uwe Waldmann

Winter Semester 2026/27

Exercises 13: Superposition Continued

Exercise 13.1: Using the kbo with $f \succ b \succ c \succ d \succ e$ and weight 1 for all symbols and variables as the term ordering, compute the rewrite systems R_C and R_∞ for the set of ground clauses N :

$$f(b) \approx e \vee f(b) \not\approx f(b) \quad (1)$$

$$b \not\approx e \vee f(c) \approx f(e) \quad (2)$$

$$f(d) \approx f(e) \quad (3)$$

$$f(e) \approx e \vee f(e) \approx c \quad (4)$$

$$b \approx c \quad (5)$$

$$d \approx e \quad (6)$$

Which is the smallest clause $C \in N$ such that C is neither productive nor true in R_C ? Use it to show that N is not saturated up to redundancy.

Exercise 13.2: Compute R_∞ for the clause set $\{f(x) \approx b\}$ and the signature $\Sigma = (\{f/1, g/1, b/0\}, \emptyset)$. Use the kbo with $g \succ f \succ b$ and weights 1 for all symbols and variables.

Exercise 13.3: Compute R_∞ for the clause set $\{f(x) \approx b\}$ and the signature $\Sigma = (\{f/1, g/1, b/0\}, \emptyset)$. This time, use the lpo with the precedence $g \succ f \succ b$.

Exercise 13.4: Let N be a set of equational clauses such that $\perp \notin N$. In Thm. ??, we have shown that whenever N is saturated up to redundancy, then every ground instance

$C\theta \in G_\Sigma(N)$ is either productive or true in $R_{C\theta}$. The converse does not hold, not even for ground unit clauses: Give a small set of ground unit clauses N such that $\perp \notin N$ and every $C \in N$ is either productive or true in R_C , but N is not saturated up to redundancy.

Exercise 13.5: A clause is called *Horn* if it contains at most one positive literal. Prove that every inference of the superposition calculus from Horn premises generates a Horn conclusion.

Exercise 13.6: We call an equational clause *happy* if it contains at least one positive literal.

(a) Prove that every inference of the superposition calculus from happy premises generates a happy conclusion.

(b) Using part (a) and the refutational completeness of superposition, prove that all sets N of happy clauses are satisfiable.

(c) Re-prove the result of part (b) using basic model theory.

Exercise 13.7 (*): Find an unsatisfiable clause set N consisting of two unit clauses $s \approx t$ and $u \not\approx v$ and a term ordering \succ such that the only nonredundant inference that does not violate the ordering restrictions of the superposition calculus is a “Positive Superposition” inference in which the left-hand side of $s \approx t$ is unified with the left-hand side of a renamed copy of $s \approx t$.

Exercise 13.8 (*): Prove the lifting lemma (Lemma ??) for the “Equality Resolution” inference rule.