Prof. Dr. Jasmin Blanchette Dr. Martin Desharnais-Schäfer Dr. Michael Kirsten Elisabeth Lempa Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München Institut für Informatik Discussion on 03.12.2025 Homework due on 10.12.2025 at 16:00

Exercise Sheet 8 in Scientific and Technical English for Computer Scientists

The exercise sheets consist of in-class exercises and homework. The in-class exercises take place in the second half of the lecture time slots. The homework, which is optional and ungraded, can be submitted via the "Homework" section in Moodle. The homework is subject to peer review.

Unless indicated otherwise, generative artificial intelligence assistants such as Chat-GPT may be used, as long as you acknowledge how you use them as specified by the Institute's policy on plagiarism.¹ However, you may not use such tools to generate peer reviews for you. In addition, we strongly recommend that you do not use them to generate entire solutions, since this would defeat the purpose of the exercises.

In-class exercise 8-1 *Telephone Game* The next two pages present the Java code of two sorting algorithms: bubble sort and selection sort.

- a) Form a team with another student.
- b) Assign one of the algorithms to you and the other one to your teammate.
- c) Read your algorithm and only this one.
- d) Write a description of the inner workings of your algorithm. You can assume that the reader knows about the swap function.
- e) Exchange the descriptions once you and your teammate are done writing.
- f) Write a Java program that exactly follows your teammate's description.
- g) Once you and your teammate are done programming, share the Java programs and compare them with the programs given on the next two pages.
- h) Discuss how effective the descriptions are at conveying the algorithms.

https://www.medien.ifi.lmu.de/lehre/Plagiate-IfI.pdf

```
static public void selectionSort(int[] a) {
    final int n = a.length;
    for (int i = 0; i < n - 1; i++) {
        int min = i;
        for (int j = i + 1; j < n; j++) {
            if (a[j] < a[min]) {
                min = j;
            }
        }
        if (min > i) {
                swap(a, i, min);
        }
    }
}
```

Homework 8-2 *Mathematical Prose* Improve the presentation of the following excerpts. Follow the guidelines on mathematical prose and notation style from the lecture.

- a) Consider the language $L = \{abc | a \in \Sigma\}$ over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{b, c\}$.
- b) Consider an undirected graph G = (V, E). If \forall elements $x, y \in C$, there is a $z \in E$ so that $z_1 = x$ and $z_2 = y \Longrightarrow C$ is a clique.
- c) **Theorem 3.7.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, let $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the family of elements

$$\{a_{i_i} \mid 1 \le i \le n \text{ and } 1 \le j \le m_i\}.$$

Then we define the sequent

$$S = \langle a_{1_1}, a_{1_2}, \dots, a_{1_{m_1}}, a_{2_1}, \dots, a_{1_{m_2}}, \dots, a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_{m_n}} \rangle.$$

Suppose that for each fixed i, the elements a_i are indistinguishable from each other, but any two elements that differ in i are pairwise distinct.

Then, the number of distinct permutations of S is given by

$$P_S = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i)!}{\prod_{i=1}^n m_i!}.$$

Homework 8-3 *Mathematical Proof* The following excerpt comes from introductory material on algebraic structures intended for bachelor students of computer science. Improve its presentation to make it easier to follow.

Lemma 3.1. In every group (G, \circ) it holds that: $\forall a \in G. \forall b \in G. (a \circ b)^{-1} = b^{-1} \circ a^{-1}$.

Proof. $a,b \in G$. Then

$$(b^{-1} \circ a^{-1}) \circ (a \circ b) = b^{-1} \circ (a^{-1} \circ a) \circ b = b^{-1} \circ e \circ b = b^{-1} \circ b = e,$$

because of the laws of associativity and the inverse and neutral element, respectively. So $b^{-1}\circ a^{-1}$ is the left inverse of $a\circ b$, therefore $(a\circ b)^{-1}=b^{-1}\circ a^{-1}$. \square

Homework 8-4 *Numbers* Improve the formatting of numbers in the following paragraphs using the rules from the lecture:

More generally, ./sort1 p q reads $q \times p$ input bits, applies this paper's algorithm, and prints a test program that includes the resulting code. The program packs each matrix row into 4 unsigned long long variables, so it is limited to $p \in \{0,1,2,\ldots,256\}$, but it allows any $q \in \{1,2,3,\ldots\}$ that fits into memory. The test program prints the algebraic complexity of the code, i.e., the number of xors. The test program also checks that the code computes the desired outputs; if this check fails, the program prints 9999999999.

Table 3.1 [not shown here] shows the average algebraic complexity, divided by pq, of this code for 10000 random $q \times p$ matrices obtained from /dev/urandom, the Linux cryptographic random number generator. Table 3.2 shows the standard deviation of the algebraic complexity. For example, for (p,q)=(64,128), the two tables have entries 0,1922 and 0,0011 respectively; this algorithm evaluated 10000 random sixty-four-bit-to-one-hundred-twenty-eight-bit linear maps using approximately 0,1922 · $128 \cdot 64 \approx 1,575$ xors on average, with standard deviation approximately $0,0011 \cdot 128 \cdot 64 \approx \text{nine}$.