## **Automated Theorem Proving**

Prof. Dr. Jasmin Blanchette, Yiming Xu, PhD, Tanguy Bozec, and Lydia Kondylidou based on exercises by Dr. Uwe Waldmann

Winter Term 2025/26

## **Exercises 4: First-Order Logic**

**Exercise 4.1:** Let  $\Sigma = (\{b/0, c/0, d/0, f/1\}, \{P/1\})$ . Does the formula

$$P(b) \land P(c) \land \neg P(d) \land \neg (\exists x \, P(f(f(x))))$$

have a  $\Sigma$ -model whose universe has exactly two elements? Give an example of such a model or show that such a model does not exist.

**Exercise 4.2:** Let the signature  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$  be given by  $\Omega = \{+/2, s/1, 0/0\}$  and  $\Pi = \emptyset$ , and let

$$F_1 = \forall x (x + 0 \approx x)$$

$$F_2 = \forall x \forall y (x + s(y) \approx s(x + y))$$

$$F_3 = \forall x \forall y (x + y \approx y + x)$$

$$F_4 = \neg \forall x \forall y (x + y \approx y + x).$$

- (a) Determine a  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with an universe of exactly two elements such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a model of  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $F_3$ .
- (b) Determine a  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with an universe of exactly two elements such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a model of  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $F_4$ .

**Exercise 4.3:** Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \emptyset)$  with  $\Omega = \{f/1, c/0\}$ . Give a  $\Sigma$ -model  $\mathcal{A}$  of

$$\neg f(c) \approx c \land \forall x (f(f(x)) \approx x)$$

with  $U_{\mathcal{A}} = \{1, 2, 3\}.$ 

**Exercise 4.4:** Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$  with  $\Omega = \{f/2, g/2\}$  and  $\Pi = \{P/2, Q/1\}$ . Let  $F = \forall x (P(x, y) \lor \exists y P(x, f(y, z)))$ 

and  $\sigma = \{y \mapsto g(x, z), z \mapsto g(x, y)\}$ . Compute  $F\sigma$ .

**Exercise 4.5:** Let F be a formula. Prove that  $\exists x \, F$  is satisfiable if and only if  $F\{x \mapsto b\}$  is satisfiable, where b is a constant that does not occur in F.

**Exercise 4.6:** Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$  be a signature. For every  $\Sigma$ -formula F without equality, let neg(F) be the formula that one obtains from F by replacing every atom  $P(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$  in F by its negation  $\neg P(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$  for every  $P/n \in \Pi$ . Prove: If F is valid, then neg(F) is valid.

Hint: Somewhere in the proof you need an induction over the structure of formulas. It is sufficient if you check the base cases and  $\land$ ,  $\neg$ , and  $\exists$ . The other boolean connectives and quantifiers  $(\lor, \to, \leftrightarrow, \forall)$  can be handled analogously; you may omit them.

Exercise 4.7 (\*): Let  $\Pi$  be a set of propositional variables. Let N and N' be sets of clauses over  $\Pi$ . Let S be a set of literals that does not contain any complementary literals. Prove: If every clause in N contains at least one literal L with  $L \in S$  and if no clause in N' contains a literal L with  $\overline{L} \in S$ , then  $N \cup N'$  is satisfiable if and only if N' is satisfiable.

**Exercise 4.8:** Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$  be a signature where  $\Pi$  contains two predicate symbols Q and R with the same arity n and possibly further predicate symbols. For any  $\Sigma$ -formula F let rep(F) be the formula that one obtains by replacing every atom  $Q(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$  in F by the corresponding atom  $R(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ .

- (a) Prove: If F is valid, then rep(F) is valid. It is sufficient if you consider nonequational atoms, disjunctions  $G \vee G'$ , and negations  $\neg G$ ; the other cases are handled analogously.
- (b) Refute: If F is satisfiable, then rep(F) is satisfiable.

Exercise 4.9 (\*): Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$  be a signature. Let P/1 and Q/0 be predicate symbols in  $\Pi$ . Let N be a set of (universally quantified) clauses over  $\Sigma$ . Let  $N_0$  be the set of all clauses in N that contain a literal  $\neg P(t)$  for some  $t \in T_{\Sigma}(X)$ . Let  $N_1$  be the set of all clauses in N that contain a literal P(t') for some  $t' \in T_{\Sigma}(X)$ . Prove: If all clauses in  $N_0 \setminus N_1$  contain also the literal  $\neg Q$  and if all clauses in  $N_1 \setminus N_0$  contain also the literal Q, then N and  $(N \setminus N_0) \setminus N_1$  are equisatisfiable.

- **Exercise 4.10** (\*): Let  $\succ$  be a well-founded strict partial ordering on a set M. A function  $\phi: M^n \to M$  with  $n \ge 1$  is called strictly monotonic in the jth argument if  $a_j \succ a'_j$  implies  $\phi(a_1, \ldots, a_j, \ldots, a_n) \succ \phi(a_1, \ldots, a'_j, \ldots, a_n)$  for all arguments  $a_1, \ldots, a_n, a'_j \in M$ .
- (a) Prove: If the ordering  $\succ$  on the set M is well-founded and total, and if  $\phi: M^n \to M$  with  $n \ge 1$  is strictly monotonic in the jth argument, then  $\phi(a_1, \ldots, a_j, \ldots, a_n) \succeq a_j$  for all  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in M$ .
- (b) In part (a), it was required that  $\succ$  is a *total* ordering. Give an example that shows that the property of part (a) does not hold if the ordering  $\succ$  is well-founded but not total.
- (c) Use part (a) to prove the following property: Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$  be a signature, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\Sigma$ -algebra. Let  $\succ$  be a well-founded total ordering on the universe  $U_{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , such that  $f_{\mathcal{A}}: U_{\mathcal{A}}^n \to U_{\mathcal{A}}$  is strictly monotonic in every argument for every  $f/n \in \Omega$  with  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $\beta$  be an arbitrary  $\mathcal{A}$ -assignment, let  $t \in T_{\Sigma}(X)$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(\beta)(t) \succeq \beta(x)$  for every variable  $x \in \text{var}(t)$ .