## **Automated Theorem Proving**

Prof. Dr. Jasmin Blanchette, Lydia Kondylidou, Yiming Xu, PhD, and Tanguy Bozec based on exercises by Dr. Uwe Waldmann

Winter Term 2024/25

## **Exercises 13: Superposition Continued**

**Exercise 13.1:** Using the kbo with  $f \succ b \succ c \succ d \succ e$  and weight 1 for all symbols and variables as the term ordering, compute the rewrite systems  $R_C$  and  $R_{\infty}$  for the set of ground clauses N:

$f(b) \approx e \ \lor \ f(b) \not\approx f(b)$	(1)
$b \not\approx e  \lor  f(c) \approx f(e)$	(2)
$f(d)\approx f(e)$	(3)
$f(e) \approx e \ \lor \ f(e) \approx c$	(4)
$b \approx c$	(5)
$d \approx e$	(6)

Which is the smallest clause  $C \in N$  such that C is neither productive nor true in  $R_C$ ? Use it to show that N is not saturated up to redundancy.

**Exercise 13.2:** Compute  $R_{\infty}$  for the clause set  $\{f(x) \approx b\}$  and the signature  $\Sigma = (\{f/1, g/1, b/0\}, \emptyset)$ . Use the kbo with  $g \succ f \succ b$  and weights 1 for all symbols and variables.

**Exercise 13.3:** Compute  $R_{\infty}$  for the clause set  $\{f(x) \approx b\}$  and the signature  $\Sigma = (\{f/1, g/1, b/0\}, \emptyset)$ . This time, use the lpo with the precedence  $g \succ f \succ b$ .

**Exercise 13.4:** Let N be a set of equational clauses such that  $\perp \notin N$ . In Thm. 5.4.8, we have shown that whenever N is saturated up to redundancy, then every ground instance  $C\theta \in G_{\Sigma}(N)$  is either productive or true in  $R_{C\theta}$ . The converse does not hold, not even

for ground unit clauses: Give a small set of ground unit clauses N such that  $\perp \notin N$  and every  $C \in N$  is either productive or true in  $R_C$ , but N is not saturated up to redundancy.

**Exercise 13.5:** A clause is called *Horn* if it contains at most one positive literal. Prove that every inference of the superposition calculus from Horn premises generates a Horn conclusion.

**Exercise 13.6:** We call an equational clause *happy* if it contains at least one positive literal.

(a) Prove that every inference of the superposition calculus from happy premises generates a happy conclusion.

(b) Using part (a) and the refutational completeness of superposition, prove that all sets N of happy clauses are satisfiable.

(c) Re-prove the result of part (b) using basic model theory.

**Exercise 13.7** (\*): Find an unsatisfiable clause set N consisting of two unit clauses  $s \approx t$  and  $u \not\approx v$  and a term ordering  $\succ$  such that the only nonredundant inference that does not violate the ordering restrictions of the superposition calculus is a "Positive Superposition" inference in which the left-hand side of  $s \approx t$  is unified with the left-hand side of a renamed copy of  $s \approx t$ .

**Exercise 13.8** (\*): Prove the lifting lemma (Lemma 5.4.6) for the "Equality Resolution" inference rule.