

# Automated Theorem Proving

Prof. Dr. Jasmin Blanchette, Lydia Kondylidou,  
Yiming Xu, PhD, and Tanguy Bozec  
based on exercises by Dr. Uwe Waldmann

Winter Term 2024/25

## Exercises 9: Rewrite Systems

**Exercise 9.1:** Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \emptyset)$  with  $\Omega = \{b/0, f/1, g/1\}$ . Let  $E$  be the set of (implicitly universally quantified) equations  $\{f(g(f(x))) \approx b\}$ .

Give one possible derivation for the statement  $E \vdash f(g(b)) \approx b$ .

**Exercise 9.2:** Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \emptyset)$ , let  $\Omega = \{f/1, b/0, c/0, d/0\}$ . Let  $E$  be the set of equations  $\{f(b) \approx d, f(c) \approx d, f(f(x)) \approx f(x)\}$ . Let  $X$  be a countably infinite set of variables.

- (a) Show that  $f(d) \leftrightarrow_E^* d$ .
- (b) Sketch what the universe of  $T_\Sigma(\emptyset)/E$  looks like. How many elements does it have?
- (c) Determine for each of the following equations whether it holds in  $T_\Sigma(X)/E$  and whether it holds in  $T_\Sigma(\emptyset)/E$ . Give a very brief explanation.

$$f(b) \approx b \quad (1)$$

$$\forall y \ f(f(f(y))) \approx f(f(y)) \quad (2)$$

$$\forall x \forall y \ f(x) \approx f(y) \quad (3)$$

**Exercise 9.3:** Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \emptyset)$  with  $\Omega = \{f/1, b/0, c/0, d/0\}$ . Let  $E$  be the set of (implicitly universally quantified) equations  $\{f(f(x)) \approx b\}$ .

- (a) Show that  $b \leftrightarrow_E^* f(b)$ . How does the rewrite proof look?
- (b) Is the universe of the initial  $E$ -algebra  $T_\Sigma(\emptyset)/E$  finite or infinite? If it is finite, how many elements does it have?

**Exercise 9.4:** Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \emptyset)$  be a first-order signature with  $\Omega = \{f/1, b/0, c/0, d/0\}$ . Let  $E$  be the set of  $\Sigma$ -equations

$$\{\forall x (f(x) \approx b), c \approx d\},$$

let  $X = \{x, y, z\}$  be a set of variables. For any  $t \in T_\Sigma(X)$ , let  $[t]$  denote the congruence class of  $t$  w.r.t.  $E$ . Let  $\mathcal{T} = T_\Sigma(X)/E$ , let  $U_\mathcal{T}$  be the universe of  $\mathcal{T}$ , and let  $\beta : X \rightarrow U_\mathcal{T}$  be the assignment that maps every variable to  $[c]$ . Determine for each of the following statements whether they are true or false:

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| (1) $[z]$ is a finite set of $\Sigma$ -terms.    | (5) $U_\mathcal{T}$ is finite.                             |
| (2) $[f(z)]$ is a finite set of $\Sigma$ -terms. | (6) $[b] \in U_\mathcal{T}$ .                              |
| (3) $[c]$ is a set of ground $\Sigma$ -terms.    | (7) $\{x, y\} \in U_\mathcal{T}$ .                         |
| (4) $[f(c)]$ is a set of ground $\Sigma$ -terms. | (8) $\mathcal{T}(\beta)(\forall z (z \approx f(x))) = 1$ . |

**Exercise 9.5:** Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \emptyset)$  be a first-order signature with  $\Omega = \{f/2, b/0, c/0, d/0\}$ . Let  $E$  be the set of  $\Sigma$ -equations

$$\{\forall x (f(x, c) \approx b), c \approx d\},$$

let  $X = \{x, y, z\}$  be a set of variables. For any  $t \in T_\Sigma(X)$ , let  $[t]$  denote the congruence class of  $t$  w.r.t.  $E$ . Let  $\mathcal{T} = T_\Sigma(X)/E$  let  $U_\mathcal{T}$  be the universe of  $\mathcal{T}$ , and let  $\beta : X \rightarrow U_\mathcal{T}$  be the assignment that maps every variable to  $[c]$ . Determine for each of the following statements whether they are true or false:

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| (1) $[c]$ is a finite set of $\Sigma$ -terms.              | (5) $f(c, b) \in [f(d, b)]$ .                           |
| (2) $[f(c, c)]$ is a set of ground $\Sigma$ -terms.        | (6) $f_\mathcal{T}([y], [d]) = [f(z, c)]$ .             |
| (3) $[x]$ is an element of the universe of $\mathcal{T}$ . | (7) $\mathcal{T}(\beta)(y \approx d) = 1$ .             |
| (4) $\{b, f(x, c)\}$ is a congruence class w.r.t. $E$ .    | (8) $\mathcal{T}(\beta)(\forall z (z \approx c)) = 1$ . |

**Exercise 9.6 (\*)**: Find a signature  $\Sigma$  containing at least one constant symbol, a set  $E$  of  $\Sigma$ -equations, and two terms  $s, t \in T_\Sigma(X)$  such that

$$T_\Sigma(\{x_1\})/E \models \forall \vec{x} (s \approx t),$$

but

$$T_\Sigma(\{x_1, x_2\})/E \not\models \forall \vec{x} (s \approx t),$$

where  $\vec{x}$  consists of all the variables occurring in  $s$  and  $t$ . (The variables in  $\vec{x}$  need not be contained in  $\{x_1, x_2\}$ .)

**Exercise 9.7:** Let  $R$  be the following term rewrite system over  $\Sigma = (\{f/1, g/2, h/1, c/0\}, \emptyset)$ .

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow h(h(x)) \quad (1)$$

$$g(f(y), x) \rightarrow g(y, x) \quad (2)$$

$$h(g(z, f(c))) \rightarrow f(z) \quad (3)$$

Give all critical pairs between the three rules.

**Exercise 9.8:** Let

$$\{f(b) \rightarrow f(c), f(c) \rightarrow f(d), f(d) \rightarrow f(b), f(x) \rightarrow x\}$$

be a rewrite system over  $\Sigma = (\{f/1, b/0, c/0, d/0\}, \emptyset)$ . Is it (a) terminating? (b) normalizing? (c) locally confluent? (d) confluent? Justify your answers.

**Exercise 9.9 (\*)**: Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \emptyset)$  with  $\Omega = \{f/1, g/1, h/1, b/0, c/0\}$ . Let  $R$  be the following term rewrite system over  $\Sigma$ :

$$\{g(f(x)) \rightarrow h(x), h(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x), g(b) \rightarrow c, h(c) \rightarrow b\}$$

Prove: If  $s, t \in T_\Sigma(X)$  and  $R \models \forall \vec{x} (s \approx t)$ , then there exists a rewrite derivation  $s \leftrightarrow_R^* t$  with at most  $|s| + |t| - 2$  rewrite steps.

**Exercise 9.10 (\*)**: Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \emptyset)$  be a signature. Let  $R$  be a term rewrite system.

(a) Prove: If  $s \rightarrow_R t$ , then  $\text{var}(s) \supseteq \text{var}(t)$ .

(b) Prove: If  $x \in X$  is a variable,  $s \in T_\Sigma(X)$  is a term such that  $x \notin \text{var}(s)$ , and  $R \models x \approx s$ , then  $R$  is not confluent.

**Exercise 9.11 (\*)**: Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \emptyset)$  be a first-order signature, let  $E$  be a set of  $\Sigma$ -equations such that for every equation  $s \approx s'$  in  $E$  neither  $s$  nor  $s'$  is a variable. For any term  $t \in T_\Sigma(X)$ , let  $[t]$  denote the congruence class of  $t$  w.r.t.  $E$ .

Prove or refute: For every variable  $x \in X$  we have  $[x] = \{x\}$ .

**Exercise 9.12 (\*)**: A friend asks you to proofread her master thesis. On page 15 of the thesis, your friend writes the following:

**Lemma 5.** Let  $\succ$  be a well-founded ordering over a set  $A$ , let  $\rightarrow$  be a binary relation such that  $\rightarrow \subseteq \succ$ . Let  $r$  be an element of  $A$  that is irreducible with respect to  $\rightarrow$ , and define  $A_r = \{t \in A \mid t \rightarrow^* r\}$ . If for every  $u_0, u_1, u_2 \in A$  such that  $u_1 \leftarrow u_0 \rightarrow u_2 \rightarrow^* r$  there exists a  $u_3 \in A$  such that  $u_1 \rightarrow^* u_3 \leftarrow^* u_2$ , then for every  $t_0 \in A_r$  and  $t_1 \in A$ ,  $t_0 \rightarrow^* t_1$  implies  $t_1 \in A_r$ .

**Proof.** We use well-founded induction over  $t_0$  with respect to  $\succ$ . Let  $t_0 \in A_r$  and  $t_1 \in A$  such that  $t_0 \rightarrow^* t_1$ . If this derivation is empty, the result is trivial, so suppose that  $t_0 \rightarrow t'_1 \rightarrow^* t_1$ . Since  $t_0 \in A_r$  is reducible, it is different from  $r$ , hence there is a nonempty derivation  $t_0 \rightarrow t_2 \rightarrow^* r$ . By assumption, there exists a  $t_3 \in A$  such that  $t'_1 \rightarrow^* t_3 \leftarrow^* t_2$ . Now  $t_0 \succ t_2$  and  $t_2 \in A_r$ , hence  $t_3 \in A_r$  by the induction hypothesis, and thus  $t'_1 \in A_r$ . Since  $t_0 \succ t'_1$ , we can use the induction hypothesis once more and obtain  $t_1 \in A_r$  as required.

- (1) Is the “proof” correct?
- (2) If the “proof” is not correct:
  - (a) Which step is incorrect?
  - (b) Does the “theorem” hold? If yes, give a correct proof; otherwise, give a counterexample.