Automated Theorem Proving

Prof. Dr. Jasmin Blanchette, Lydia Kondylidou,
Yiming Xu, PhD, and Tanguy Bozec
based on exercises by Dr. Uwe Waldmann

Winter Term 2024/25

Exercises 1: Motivation and Preliminaries

More difficult exercises are identified with an asterisk ($*$). These are included because they can be fun and instructive, but they are not typical exam questions.

Exercise 1.1: Solve the sudoku puzzle presented in the lecture.

Exercise 1.2: Find an abstract reduction system $(A, \to)$ such that the relations $\to$, $\leftrightarrow$, and $\leftrightarrow^*$ are all different.

Exercise 1.3: Find an abstract reduction system $(A, \to)$ such that $\to^+$ is irreflexive and $\to$ is normalizing but not terminating.

Exercise 1.4: Let $(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}, <_{\mathrm{d}})$ be the set of natural numbers larger than 1 ordered by the divisibility ordering $<_{\mathrm{d}}$ that is defined by $a <_{\mathrm{d}} b$ if a divides b and $a \neq b$. Are there minimal elements? Is there a smallest element? What do they look like?

Exercise 1.5: Let $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$ be the set of rational numbers with the usual ordering $<$. Construct infinite subsets M_1, M_2, M_3, and M_4 of $\mathbb{Q}$ with the following properties:

(1) M_1 is well-founded and has a minimal element.

(2) M_2 is not well-founded and has a minimal element.

(3) M_3 is well-founded and does not have a maximal element.

(4) M_4 is not well-founded and has a maximal element.

Exercise 1.6 $(*)$**:** You are asked to review a scientific article that has been submitted to a conference on automated reasoning. On page 3 of the article, the authors write the following:

> **Theorem 2.** Let $\to_1$ and $\to_2$ be two binary relations over a nonempty set M. If $\to_1$ and $\to_2$ are terminating, then $\to_1 \cup \to_2$ is also terminating.
>
> **Proof.** Since $\to_1$ is terminating, $\to_1^+$ is a well-founded ordering. Assume that there exists an infinite descending $(\to_1 \cup \to_2)$-chain. Since $\to_1^+$ is well-founded, there exists a minimal element b with respect to $\to_1^+$ such that there is an infinite descending $(\to_1 \cup \to_2)$-chain starting with b.
>
> Case 1: The $(\to_1 \cup \to_2)$-chain starts with a $\to_1$-step $b \to_1 b'$. The rest of the chain, starting with b', is still infinite. However, b' is smaller than b with respect to $\to_1^+$. This contradicts the minimality of b.
>
> Case 2: The $(\to_1 \cup \to_2)$-chain starts with a $\to_2$-step $b \to_2 b'$. Since $\to_2$ is terminating, the chain cannot consist only of $\to_2$-steps. Therefore there must be some $\to_1$-step in the chain, say $b'' \to_1 b'''$. Hence there exists an infinite $(\to_1 \cup \to_2)$-chain starting with this step. But as we have seen in Case 1, an infinite $(\to_1 \cup \to_2)$-chain cannot start with a $\to_1$-step. So there is again a contradiction.
>
> Consequently, every descending $(\to_1 \cup \to_2)$-chain must be finite, which means that $\to_1 \cup \to_2$ is terminating.

(1) Is the "proof" correct?

(2) If the "proof" is not correct:

  (a) Which step is incorrect?

  (b) Does the "theorem" hold? If yes, give a correct proof; otherwise, give a counterexample.

Exercise 1.7 $(*)$**:** (1) Prove: If $>$ is a well-founded strict partial ordering on a set M and if b is the only element of M that is minimal in M, then b is the smallest element of M.

(2) Give an example of a strict partial ordering $>$ on a set M and an element $b \in M$ such that b is the only element of M that is minimal in M but not the smallest element of M.

Exercise 1.8 $(*)$**:** Let $(A, \to)$ be an abstract reduction system such that every element of A has exactly one normal form w.r.t. $\to$. For every $b \in A$ define $L(b)$ as the minimal $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b \to^n b'$ and b' is in normal form w.r.t. $\to$. Define the binary relation $\Rightarrow$ over A by $b \Rightarrow c$ if and only if $b \to c$ and $L(b) > L(c)$.

(1) Give an example that shows that $\to \neq \Rightarrow$.

(2) Show that for every $b \in A$ we have $b \Rightarrow^* b'$, where b' is the normal form of b w.r.t. $\to$.

(3) Use part (2) to show that $\leftrightarrow^* = \Leftrightarrow^*$.