

# Protokollsicherheit

## Exercise Sheet 4

**Exercise 4-1. (Labelled Operational Semantics – Easy)** What are the possible transitions that the following process can perform:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P &= \nu x.(\nu y.(\bar{x}\langle y \rangle.\bar{y}\langle x \rangle) \mid \nu y.(x(x).\bar{y}\langle x \rangle \mid y(y).y(y).\bar{k}\langle y \rangle)) \\
 Q &= \nu o.(\nu g.(\bar{g}\langle o \rangle \mid g(o).\bar{o}\langle 3 \rangle)).
 \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 4-2. (Labelled Operational Semantics – Medium)** Consider a process of the form  $P \mid Q$ . What are the possible processes that could be reached from this process by performing a single labelled transition?

**Exercise 4-3. (Labelled Bisimulation – Easy)** Consider the following pair of processes:  $!\nu c.\bar{d}\langle c \rangle.P(0)$  and  $\nu c.!\bar{d}\langle c \rangle.P(0)$ . Prove that they are not labelled bisimilar by showing that there is no bisimulation relation. Also show a context that can distinguish them, that is, show that they are not observational equivalent.

**Exercise 4-4. (Proverif – Medium)** In our first lecture, we showed several flawed protocols and their corresponding attacks. Try to use Proverif to find these attacks. Use correspondence properties whenever needed.