

LUDWIG-MAXIMILIANS-UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

CHAIR OF THEORETICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE AND THEOREM PROVING



Thesis Title

Author's name

Thesis type (Bachelor's Thesis / Master's Thesis, ... )  
in course type (Computer Science, Computer Science plus Mathematics, ... )

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Jasmin Blanchette

Advisor: Advisor's name

Submission Date: September 29, 2023

### Disclaimer

I confirm that this thesis type is my own work and I have documented all sources and material used.

Munich, September 29, 2023

Author

## Acknowledgments

## **Abstract**

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force in the field of healthcare, offering unprecedented opportunities to enhance patient care, streamline processes, and improve outcomes. This research paper explores the profound impact of AI on healthcare delivery by examining its applications in various facets of the healthcare ecosystem, from diagnostic accuracy and treatment optimization to administrative efficiency and patient engagement. We review recent case studies and data-driven insights to illustrate the tangible benefits and challenges associated with the integration of AI technologies in healthcare settings. Additionally, we discuss ethical considerations and the need for regulatory frameworks to ensure the responsible and equitable deployment of AI in healthcare. This study highlights the potential of AI to revolutionize healthcare delivery and underscores the importance of a thoughtful and ethical approach to its implementation.

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence, Healthcare Delivery, Patient Care, Diagnostic Accuracy, Treatment Optimization, Ethical Considerations, Regulatory Frameworks.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Notes on form</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1	Formatting . . . . .	1
2.2	Citation . . . . .	1
2.3	Bibliography . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>General Addenda</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	Detailed Addition . . . . .	3
<b>4</b>	<b>Figures</b>	<b>3</b>
4.1	Example 1 . . . . .	3
4.2	Example 2 . . . . .	3
	<b>Glossary</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>6</b>

# 1 Introduction

This document serves as a template for bachelor or master theses in the 'Chair of Theoretical Computer Science and Theorem Proving' at LMU. By commenting one of the commands `selectlanguage{english}` or `selectlanguage{german}` the documents language is changed.

The template follows the guidelines of the journal *language* (<http://www.linguisticsociety.org/sites/default/files/style-sheet.pdf>).

## 2 Notes on form

### 2.1 Formatting

This LaTeX template uses the following formatting:

- font: Linux Libertine O (alternatively: Times New Roman)
- font size: 12 pt
- left and right margin: 3.5 cm, top and bottom margin: 3 cm
- align: left
- line spacing: one and a half (alternative: 15 pt line spacing with 12 pt font size)

When implementing the specifications in Word, it is essential to define style sheets.

### 2.2 Citation

The citation method follows the author-year system. Place reference is in the text, footnotes should only be used for explanations and comments. The following notes are taken from the *language* bibliography template from [ron.artstein.org](http://ron.artstein.org):

The *Language* style sheet makes a distinction between two kinds of in-text citations: citing a work and citing an author.

- Citing a work:
  - Two authors are joined by an ampersand (&).
  - More than two authors are abbreviated with *et al.*
  - No parentheses are placed around the year (though parentheses may contain the whole citation).
- Citing an author:
  - Two authors are joined by *and*.
  - More than two authors are abbreviated with *and colleagues*.
  - The year is surrounded by parentheses (with page numbers, if present).

To provide for both kinds of citations, `language.bst` capitalizes on the fact that `natbib` citation commands come in two flavors. In a typical style compatible with `natbib`, ordinary commands such as `\citet` and `\citep` produce short citations abbreviated with *et al.*, whereas starred commands such as `\citet*` and `\citep*` produce a citation with a full author list. Since *Language* does not require citations with full authors, the style `language.bst` repurposes the starred commands to be used for citing the author. The following table shows how the `natbib` citation commands work with `language.bst`.

Command	Two authors	More than two authors
<code>\citet</code>	Hale & White Eagle (1980)	Sprouse et al. (2011)
<code>\citet*</code>	Hale und White Eagle (1980)	Sprouse and colleagues (2011)
<code>\citep</code>	(Hale & White Eagle 1980)	(Sprouse et al. 2011)
<code>\citep*</code>	(Hale und White Eagle 1980)	(Sprouse and colleagues 2011)
<code>\citealt</code>	Hale & White Eagle 1980	Sprouse et al. 2011
<code>\citealt*</code>	Hale und White Eagle 1980	Sprouse and colleagues 2011
<code>\citealp</code>	Hale & White Eagle 1980	Sprouse et al. 2011
<code>\citealp*</code>	Hale und White Eagle 1980	Sprouse and colleagues 2011
<code>\citeauthor</code>	Hale & White Eagle	Sprouse et al.
<code>\citeauthor*</code>	Hale und White Eagle	Sprouse and colleagues
<code>\citefullauthor</code>	Hale und White Eagle	Sprouse and colleagues

Authors of *Language* articles would typically use `\citet*`, `\citep`, `\citealt` and `\citeauthor*`, though they could use any of the above commands. There is no command for giving a full list of authors.

## 2.3 Bibliography

The bibliography of this template includes the references of the *language* stylesheet as a sample bibliography.

## **3 General Addenda**

If there are several additions you want to add, but they do not fit into the thesis itself, they belong here.

### **3.1 Detailed Addition**

Even sections are possible, but usually only used for several elements in, e.g. tables, images, etc.

## **4 Figures**

### **4.1 Example 1**

### **4.2 Example 2**



## **List of Figures**

## **List of Tables**

## **Glossary**

**LMU** A university in Germany, Bavaria, in the city of Munich. 1

## References

- BUTT, MIRIAM, und WILHELM GEUDER (Hg.) 1998. *The projection of arguments: Lexical and compositional factors*. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.
- CROFT, WILLIAM. 1998. Event structure in argument linking. In Butt & Geuder, 21–63.
- DONOHUE, MARK. 2009. Geography is more robust than linguistics. Science e-letter, 13 August 2009. URL <http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/eletters/324/5926/464-c>.
- DORIAN, NANCY C. (Hg.) 1989. *Investigating obsolescence*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- GROPEN, JESS; STEVEN PINKER; MICHELLE HOLLANDER; RICHARD GOLDBERG; und RONALD WILSON. 1989. The learnability and acquisition of the dative alternation in English. *Language* 65.203–57.
- HALE, KENNETH, und JOSIE WHITE EAGLE. 1980. A preliminary metrical account of Winnebago accent. *International Journal of American Linguistics* 46.117–32.
- HASPELMATH, MARTIN. 1993. *A grammar of lezgian*. Walter de Gruyter.
- HYMES, DELL H. 1974a. *Foundations in sociolinguistics: An ethnographic approach*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- HYMES, DELL H. (Hg.) 1974b. *Studies in the history of linguistics: Traditions and paradigms*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- HYMES, DELL H. 1980. *Language in education: Ethnolinguistic essays*. Washington, DC: Center for Applied Linguistics.
- MINER, KENNETH. 1990. Winnebago accent: The rest of the data. Lawrence: University of Kansas, MS.
- MORGAN, TJH; NT UOMINI; LE RENDELL; L CHOUINARD-THULY; SE STREET; HM LEWIS; CP CROSS; C EVANS; R KEARNEY; I DE LA TORRE; ET AL. 2015. Experimental evidence for the co-evolution of hominin tool-making teaching and language. *Nature communications* 6.
- PERLMUTTER, DAVID M. 1978. Impersonal passives and the unaccusative hypothesis. *Berkeley Linguistics Society* 4.157–89.
- POSER, WILLIAM. 1984. *The phonetics and phonology of tone and intonation in Japanese*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Dissertation.
- PRINCE, ELLEN. 1991. Relative clauses, resumptive pronouns, and kind-sentences. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Linguistic Society of America, Chicago.
- RICE, KEREN. 1989. *A grammar of Slave*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

- SALTZMAN, ELLIOT; HOSUNG NAM; JELENA KRIVOKAPIC; und LOUIS GOLDSTEIN. 2008. A task-dynamic toolkit for modeling the effects of prosodic structure on articulation. *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Speech Prosody (Speech Prosody 2008)*, Campinas, 175–84. URL <http://aune.lpl.univ-aix.fr/~sprosig/sp2008/papers/3inv.pdf>.
- VAN DER SANDT, ROB A. 1992. Presupposition projection as anaphora resolution. *Journal of Semantics* 9.333–77.
- SINGLER, JOHN VICTOR. 1992. Review of Melanesian English and the Oceanic substrate, by Roger M. Keesing. *Language* 68.176–82.
- SPROUSE, JON; MATT WAGERS; und COLIN PHILLIPS. 2011. A test of the relation between working memory capacity and syntactic island effects. *Language*, to appear.
- STOCKWELL, ROBERT P. 1993. Obituary of Dwight L. Bolinger. *Language* 69.99–112.
- SUNDELL, TIMOTHY R. 2009. Metalinguistic disagreement. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, MS. URL <http://faculty.wcas.northwestern.edu/~trs341/papers.html>.
- TIERSMA, PETER M. 1993. Linguistic issues in the law. *Language* 69.113–37.
- WILSON, DEIRDRE. 1975. *Presuppositions and non-truth-conditional semantics*. London: Academic Press.
- YIP, MOIRA. 1991. Coronals, consonant clusters, and the coda condition. *The special status of coronals: Internal and external evidence*, hrsg. von Carole Paradis und Jean-François Prunet, 61–78. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.